

FINAL EQUALIZATION TABLE FOR THE COUNTY OF SALEM FOR THE YEAR 2021

N.J.S.A. 54:3-18 as amended, requires the County Board of Taxation to complete its equalization of property valuation in the taxing districts before the 14th day of March. Pursuant to R.S. 54:3-19, as amended, one certified copy of such equalization table, as confirmed, shall be transmitted to each of the following: The Director of Division, the Tax Court of N.J. and to each Taxing District in the County.

We hereby certify this 17th day of March, 2021 that the table below reflects those items required to be set forth under R.S.54:3-17, as amended.


Attest:



John Snyder, CTA
County Tax Administrator


Steven H. Caltabiano
President


Debra Behnke
Commissioner


Brenda H. Hall
Commissioner


Angela Susan Voras
Commissioner


Kevin Crouch
Commissioner

COUNTY PERCENTAGE LEVEL OF TAXABLE VALUE OF REAL PROPERTY 100%

TAXING DISTRICT	COLUMN (1) REAL PROPERTY EXCLUSIVE OF CLASS II RAILROAD PROPERT				COLUMN (2) MACHINERY, IMPLEMENTS, EQUIPMENT & ALL OTHER TAXABLE PERSONAL PROPERTY USED IN BUSINESS OF TELEPHONE, TELEGRAPH & MESSENGER SYSTEM COMPANIES (C.138 L.1966)					COLUMN (3) EQUALIZATION OF REPLACEMENT REVENUE! UNDER P.L.1966 C.135 AS AMENDED					COLUMN (4) DEDUCT TRUE VALUE OF REAL PROPERTY EXCLUSIVE OF CLASS II RAILROAD PROPERTY WHERE THE TAXES ARE IN DEFAULT AND LIENS UNENFORCEABLE (C.168, L.1974)			COLUMN (5) CH. 441 IN LIEU	COLUMN (6) NET AMOUNT OF CALCULATIONS [COL.1(d) + COL.2(e) + COL.3(e) - COL.4(c) + COL.5] TRANSFER TO COLUMN 10 COUNTY ABSTRACT OF RATABLE
	(a) AGGREGATE ASSESSED VALUE	(b) REAL PROPERTY RATIO OF AGGREGATE ASSESSED TO AGGREGATE TRUE VALUE	(c) AGGREGATE TRUE VALUE [COL.1(a)/ COL.19(c)]	(d) AMOUNT BY WHICH COL.1(a) SHOULD BE INCREASED OR DECREASED TO CORRESPOND TO COL.1(c)	(a) AGGREGATE ASSESSED VALUE (TAXABLE VALUE)	(b) TAXABLE % LEVEL (LOWER OF COUNTY % LEVEL OR PRE- TAX YEAR SCHOOL AID DIST. RATIO (NJSA 54:1-35.2)	(c) AGGREGATE TRUE VALUE [COL.2(a)/ COL.2(b)]	(d) AGGREGATE EQUALIZED VALUATION [COL.2(c) x COL.2(b)]	(e) AMOUNT BY WHICH COL.2(a) SHOULD BE INCREASED OR DECREASED TO CORRESPOND TO COL.2(d)	(a) BUSINESS PERSONAL PROPERTY REPLACEMENT REVENUE RECEIVED DURING PRECEDING YEAR P.L.1966 C.135	(b) PRECEDING YEAR GENERAL TAX RATE	(c) CAPITALIZATION OF REPLACEMENT REVENUES IN COL.3(a) PER C.135 P.L.1966 [COL.3(a)/COL.3(b)]	(d) REAL PROPERTY RATIO OF AGGREGATE ASSESSED VALUE TO AGGREGATE TRUE VALUE [SAME AS PRECEDING YEAR COUNTY EQUAL. TABLE COL.1(b)] PER P.L. 1971 C.32	(e) ASSUMED EQUALIZED VALUE OF AMOUNT IN COL.3(c) (COL.3(c)/ COL.3(d)	(a) AGGREGATE ASSESSED VALUE (TAXABLE VALUE)	(b) REAL PROPERTY RATIO OF AGGREGATE ASSESSED TO AGGREGATE TRUE VALUE [SAME AS COL.1(b)]	(c) AGGREGATE TRUE VALUE [COL.4(a) / COL.4(b)]		
1 ALLOWAY	282,386,800	97.54	289,508,714	7,121,914	581,889	97.54	596,564	581,889	0	29,017.88	3.099	936,363	95.98	975,581	0	97.54	0	-	8,097,495
2 CARNEYS POINT	658,849,200	104.35	631,383,996	(27,465,204)	0	100.00	0	0	0	276,991.53	3.347	8,275,815	102.40	8,081,851	0	104.35	0	982,400	(18,400,953)
3 ELMER	105,296,800	99.24	106,103,184	806,384	0	99.24	0	0	0	17,679.43	3.777	468,081	92.08	508,342	0	99.24	0	-	1,314,726
4 ELSINBORO	115,406,900	110.72	104,233,111	(11,173,789)	276,963	100.00	276,963	276,963	0	8,728.89	2.822	309,316	112.21	275,658	0	110.72	0	-	(10,898,131)
5 LOWER ALLOWAYS	214,313,800	76.12	281,547,294	67,233,494	679,069	76.12	892,103	679,069	0	14,890.67	1.510	986,137	80.97	1,217,904	0	76.12	0	-	68,451,398
6 MANNINGTON	173,694,400	93.90	184,978,062	11,283,662	661,218	93.90	704,173	661,218	0	94,582.75	3.180	2,974,300	101.17	2,939,903	0	93.90	0	-	14,223,565
7 OLDMANS	255,659,800	98.55	259,421,410	3,761,610	0	98.55	0	0	0	42,073.60	2.712	1,551,386	97.66	1,588,558	0	98.55	0	8,282,000	13,632,168
8 PENNS GROVE	134,468,500	110.93	121,219,237	(13,249,263)	0	100.00	0	0	0	64,363.61	5.009	1,284,959	105.01	1,223,654	0	110.93	0	-	(12,025,609)
9 PENNSVILLE	983,853,715	95.60	1,029,135,685	45,281,970	1,686,095	95.60	1,763,698	1,686,095	0	1,951,242.82	4.483	43,525,381	100.02	43,516,678	0	95.60	0	-	88,798,648
10 PILESGROVE	465,593,400	101.60	458,261,220	(7,332,180)	0	100.00	0	0	0	45,057.58	3.249	1,386,814	102.06	1,358,822	0	101.60	0	-	(5,973,358)
11 PITTSBORO	601,132,100	90.08	667,331,372	66,199,272	971,227	90.08	1,078,183	971,227	0	49,139.43	3.634	1,352,213	91.98	1,470,116	0	90.08	0	2,238,000	69,907,388
12 QUINTON	179,809,300	103.31	174,048,301	(5,760,999)	858,922	100.00	858,922	858,922	0	30,753.83	3.089	995,592	101.90	977,028	0	103.31	0	-	(4,783,971)
E 13 SALEM	120,484,090	91.53	131,633,443	11,149,353	2,597,646	91.53	2,838,027	2,597,646	0	237,464.81	7.112	3,338,932	90.82	3,676,428	0	91.53	0	-	14,825,781
14 UPPER PITTSBORO	339,661,600	101.96	333,132,209	(6,529,391)	0	100.00	0	0	0	52,123.88	2.723	1,914,208	101.44	1,887,035	0	101.96	0	-	(4,642,356)
15 WOODSTOWN	279,607,000	100.08	279,383,493	(223,507)	0	100.00	0	0	0	21,686.66	3.739	580,012	101.13	573,531	0	100.08	0	-	350,024
	4,910,217,405		5,051,320,731	141,103,326	8,313,029		9,008,633	8,313,029	0	2,935,797.37		69,879,509		70,271,089	0		0	11,502,400	222,876,815

A = REASSESSMENT
R = REVALUATION
E = EXCLUDES SPECIAL EXEMPTION

SALEM NEW DWL/CONV ABATE 66,480

Net Valuation on Which County Taxes are Apportioned; 1(c) + 2(d) + 3(e) + 5

5,141,407,249

Also can use: 1(a) + 2(a) + 6

5,141,407,249